



The JVAP Research Update Series No.7

Wood for Alcohol Fuels

Using farm forestry
for bioenergy



ies JVAP Bioenergy Series JVAP Bioenergy Series JVAP Bioenergy

ISBN 0642 58586 5
ISSN 1440-6845

Publication No. 03/018
Project No. EPL-2A

Wood for Alcohol Fuels – using farm forestry for bioenergy

The views expressed and the conclusions reached in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of persons consulted. RIRDC shall not be responsible in any way whatsoever to any person who relies in whole or in part on the contents of this report.

This publication is copyright. However, RIRDC encourages wide dissemination of its research, providing the Corporation is clearly acknowledged. For any other enquiries concerning reproduction, contact the Publications Manager on phone 02 6272 3186.

This report summarises and highlights key findings from a 72 page RIRDC Publication No 02/141 *Wood for Alcohol Fuels – status of technology and cost/benefit analysis of farm forestry for bioenergy*.

In submitting this report, the researchers have agreed to RIRDC publishing this material in its edited form.

Researcher's Contact Details

Enecon Pty Ltd
Level 2, 35 Whitehorse Road (PO Box 555)
Deepdene DC Vic 3103

phone: 03 9817 6255
fax: 03 9817 6455

email: cstucley@enecon.com.au

Joint Venture Agroforestry Program Managers

Dr Roslyn Prinsley
phone: 02 6272 4033
e-mail: roslyn.prinsley@rirdc.gov.au

Dr Rosemary Lott
phone: 02 6271 6671
e-mail: rosemary.lott@rirdc.gov.au

RIRDC
PO Box 4776 Kingston ACT 2604
tel 02 6272 4819 fax 02 6272 5877

Published in May 2003 by RIRDC
Designed by RIRDC Publications Unit
Printed on environmentally friendly paper by Union Offset


Cover Photos: NREL (Lesley Eudy, Warren Gretz), Enecon, RIRDC

Wood for alcohol fuels

This report provides an overview of, and the key findings from, a Joint Venture Agroforestry Program (JVAP) commissioned study 'Wood for Alcohol Fuels – Status of technology and cost/benefit analysis of farm forestry for bioenergy'.

It summarises the status of the use of alcohol fuels, the drivers for producing alcohol fuels from wood, international developments, and estimated costs of alcohol fuel production in comparison with fossil fuels. The relative merits of electricity production from woody feedstock are also considered.

The report assesses broad benefits of alcohol fuel production from wood and makes recommendations relating to technology development, the 'triple bottom line', co-products and requirements of Government policy.



© Copyright CSIRO Land and Water

Photos (L to R): CSIRO Land & Water, Leslie Eudy / NREL, CALM WA

Publications can be ordered from RIRDC

Tel (02) 6272 4819 Fax (02) 62725877 email publications@rirdc.gov.au
Downloads: www.rirdc.gov.au Online e-shop: www.rirdc.gov.au/eshop

Background

... a 100 ML plant producing 250 litre of ethanol per dry tonne of wood will require some 750,000 green tonne/year of feed ...

The Joint Venture Agroforestry Program (JVAP) has identified a need to develop farm forestry in many agricultural regions, in particular low to medium rainfall areas. Trees can help balance water flows in many landscapes and thus reduce the adverse impacts of salt that is otherwise brought to the surface by rising water tables. As such, large scale tree planting is seen as an important mechanism for reducing and managing dryland salinity.

If new commercial uses are to be found for wood products, the incidence of tree planting by farmers would be expected to be far greater and more sustainable than if the trees were planted purely for environmental or aesthetic reasons. In addition, commercial uses for trees grown via farm forestry would provide new sources of income for farmers, and potentially add to the economic stability of rural Australia.



© Copyright CSIRO Land and Water

Expanse of saline affected farming land near Meckering WA

Plantation forestry, based around pine and eucalypts such as blue gum, is already well established in coastal regions with high rainfall. However, the dryer inland regions of Australia with rainfall of 600 mm or below are not so well suited to commercial forestry with such species. If commercial forestry is to be developed in these regions it will benefit from new industries that are based on the use of tree species particularly suited to the regions; for example the mallee eucalypts that grow in much of dryland Australia.

Of many potential new industries, one that is receiving particular interest is the production of renewable biofuels from wood. These include the alcohols ethanol and methanol for use as liquid transport fuels. The potential market for these fuels in Australia is considerable, with the projected liquid fuel usage within 20 years estimated to be 35,000 ML/a. An Australian liquid fuels industry using biomass for feed would be a driver for tree planting on a massive scale, as illustrated in the table below.



Photo: Enecon

Four year old mallees grown in alleys for salinity control


Estimated woody biomass requirements for future manufacture of alcohol fuel

Alcohol as % of total fuel market	Quantity of alcohols (megalitres/year)	Wood feed required (green tonnes /year)	Annual value of wood feed (at A\$30/green tonne)
10 %	3,500	12 million	\$360 million
30 %	10,500	35 million	\$1 billion
60 %	21,000	70 million	\$2 billion

Why alcohol fuels?

Ethanol is already in use as a liquid transport fuel in a number of countries, via blends of ethanol and petrol and as a fuel in its own right. It is generally perceived to offer a range of benefits over fossil fuels, including:

- Lower greenhouse gas emissions
- Lower levels of certain exhaust emissions
- Provides octane enhancement to petrol/alcohol blends
- Domestic production of ethanol allows reduced imports of fossil fuels
- Can utilise sugar or starch by-products and be part of integrated processing industries
- Favours rural industry and employment.



Ethanol (and methanol) from renewable sources are currently more expensive than fuels derived from crude oil. However, at some time in the future crude oil will rise in cost. If renewable alcohol fuels are to be a viable alternative it is important to develop an understanding of these fuels and the form and needs of a wood-to-alcohol industry.

Against the significant benefits above, it is recognised that alcohols are not the only way to address fuel security and balance of trade issues.

Alternative non-renewable options in Australia include liquid fuels from shale oil, natural gas and coal. Also, as the oil industry notes, there are alternative mechanisms for reducing vehicle exhaust emissions that do not require the use of alcohol fuels. If alcohol fuels are to find a significant and secure place in Australia they must offer demonstrable benefits to the triple bottom line of environment, economics and community that make them a valuable part of our future energy supplies.

Current Ethanol Use

Ethanol may be used as a fuel in several ways:

- low level blends with petrol - at levels of up to 10% ethanol may be blended with petrol (commonly called E10) and used in most modern car engines with no modifications.
- high level blends with petrol - in Brazil a blend of approximately 22% ethanol (E22) in petrol is used in many vehicles, with only minor modifications to fuel handling systems reported. In the USA there is increasing use of E85, with many major vehicle manufacturers producing flexible fuel vehicles (FFV) that have engines and fuel systems designed to utilise this level of ethanol.
- pure ethanol - in both USA and Brazil some vehicles use pure, hydrous ethanol (an azeotrope of 96% ethanol and 4% water). In each case vehicles require engines and fuel systems that are designed with the high levels of ethanol in mind.
- blends with diesel - low level blends of ethanol and diesel fuel are technically feasible. Several different mechanisms are being investigated to avoid phase separation between the ethanol and the diesel, including specialised emulsifiers such as those developed by APACE Research in NSW and other groups in Europe, and mechanical emulsification.



Photo: Warren Gretz / NREL

E85 Ethanol-petrol bowser in the USA

Ethanol in Australia is currently produced via the fermentation of materials available as by-products of the sugar and starch processing industries. Such production will be limited by the availability of low value feed materials. Any new plants that use dedicated sugar and starch feeds successfully will presumably need to allow for simultaneous production of ethanol and other products, or the opportunity cost of such feeds will be for human or animal consumption. In the absence of such projects, any use of renewable alcohol fuels in Australia beyond a few percent of the total liquid fuels market will need to involve wood as feed material.

... for every litre of wood-derived ethanol substituted for petrol, approximately 1.6 kilograms of CO₂ is prevented from entering the atmosphere



Photo: Mark Heitz / NREL

Ford Taurus flexible fuel vehicle (FFV) that runs on E85

Alcohol fuels from wood


Technologies for the manufacture of ethanol from wood focus on two areas:



Photo: Australian Greenhouse Office

a) Hydrolysis of the wood to recover the sugars that make up the cellulose and hemicellulose in the wood feed. Most current technologies use acid hydrolysis to soften the wood and then break the sugar polymers down into individual sugar molecules. There is also considerable work underway overseas to develop enzymatic hydrolysis to the stage where it can be used instead of acid hydrolysis.

b) Fermentation of the sugar solution produced. The sugars released from biomass by hydrolysis are a mixture of six carbon and five carbon sugars. This makes the fermentation step more complex than for cane sugar or sugars from starch, which are solely six carbon sugars. A range of organisms are being used and improved around the world for this fermentation step, including modified yeasts, bacteria such as *E. coli* and *Zymomonas mobilis*, and thermophilic organisms.



There are no full scale biomass to ethanol plants currently operating anywhere in the world. However, there is considerable expertise already in the relevant technologies. More than half a dozen groups in the USA, Canada and Europe have developed, built and operated multi-million dollar pilot plants to investigate different feed materials (wood, MSW, agricultural residues), hydrolysis routes (acid and enzyme)

and fermentation pathways. Ongoing R&D work, particularly by the US National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and its associates in the USA, is estimated to cost in the order of tens of millions of dollars over the next few years alone. NREL has identified a range of opportunities for improvements. If these are all achieved they would serve to reduce the cost of ethanol from wood by up to 50% over the next 15 years.

NREL has set a production target of US 28 cents/litre as a research goal for ethanol from wood.

Summary of major wood-to-ethanol technologies under development

	Feed priorities	Hydrolysis	Fermentation	Pilot Plant Development
Arkenol	Flexible, driven by low cost	Concentrated acid	Modified NREL Z. mobilis	Two pilot plants; USA and Japan
BCI	Bagasse, rice straw (tested hardwoods)	Two stage dilute sulfuric acid. Some work with enzymes	Uni of Florida engineered organism for C5 and C6	Two pilot plants at Jennings, Louisiana, USA
logen	Cereal straw	Enzymatic	Yeast modified for C5 and C6	Two plants built and operated in-house
Masada	Municipal Solid Waste (but have also tested hardwoods)	Concentrated sulfuric acid	Waste plant to use C6 only	Used the TVA plant
NREL	Corn stover, but also tested hardwoods	C5 – dilute sulfuric C6 – enzymes	Various	Pilot plant in place, used on assignment
TVA	Variety of feeds, no preferences	Concentrated and dilute sulfuric	Various	Range of pilot plant facilities

Therefore, while there is scope for improvement in many different areas, any work proposed for Australia should be viewed in the light of existing expertise and ongoing programs by experienced overseas organisations.


Wood can also be converted to methanol, via a quite different processing route to that used for ethanol. The wood is initially gasified to make a synthesis gas, followed by catalytic conversion of the gas and recovery of methanol. Biomass gasification is well understood already via its role in heat and power generation, although there is still much to learn about managing the gasification to produce synthesis gas optimised for subsequent catalysis. Similarly the catalysis of natural gas to methanol is practised world-wide and provides useful experience for potential wood-based systems. However, considerable work is needed to integrate the various elements of a wood-to methanol plant using this technology, even at the pilot scale. Some pilot work has taken place in the past, however, there are no pilot scale or full scale wood to methanol plants operating at present.



Photo:Warren Gretz / NREL

A biomass gasification plant in Vermont USA.

Wood to Methanol Flow Schematic



Plant costs

In recent studies, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) in the USA has estimated the cost of a 200 ML/y wood to ethanol plant, and the BAL Fuels Project consortium in Sweden has estimated the cost of a 390 ML/y wood to methanol plant. Data from the reports by both groups have been used to estimate the Australian costs for plants to produce ethanol or methanol from wood. Data from these and other reports have also been used to consider the possibilities of feed and process improvements over the next ten to fifteen years, and their impact on production costs.

Ethanol from wood is estimated to cost 82 cents per litre in a 200 ML plant built today in Australia using world's best technology. If multiple improvements are achieved over the next 15 years this price may fall by 50% to as low as 41 cents per litre. This cost is based on biomass feed available at \$30 per fresh tonne delivered to the plant.

Methanol is estimated to cost 62 cents per litre in a 390 ML plant built today in Australia using world's best technology. Some improvements to technology may be possible over the next fifteen years and a price of 50 cents per litre has been used in this report for a lowest price projection in fifteen years time. As with ethanol, green feed delivered at \$30 per tonne is assumed.



Wood to ethanol pilot plant


Photo: David Parsons / NREL



Ethanol – wood versus molasses

Based on the cost projections outlined above, ethanol from wood is currently more expensive than ethanol from molasses, particularly if the molasses is available at low cost.


Ethanol Price Break-down (A\$/litre) by Plant Size - Molasses Feed (at \$45/tonne)



However, forecast improvements could reduce the cost of ethanol from wood to the point that it is similar to or even lower than the cost of ethanol from molasses. Environmental benefits from tree planting to make ethanol are not obtainable when ethanol is made from molasses.

Importantly ethanol from wood provides significantly better greenhouse gas reductions than ethanol derived from molasses. Whereas ethanol from molasses reduces CO₂ emissions by 20 –50% over low sulphur diesel, ethanol from wood wastes provides a reduction of some 90%. This is illustrated in the figure below.

Relative Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Ethanol



Pricing relative to petrol

A number of major energy groups world-wide, including the US Department of Energy regularly predict trends in the future price of crude oil. The consensus of these organisations is that while consumption of crude oil will increase significantly over the period 2000 – 2020, there will be no significant increase to the cost of crude oil over this period. This does not necessarily suggest that the price of crude oil will not increase beyond the twenty year horizon. Other forecasters predict that oil supplies will start to decline after 2010, with possible effects on prices. For this study an ex-refinery petrol price of 36 cents per litre in 2015 is assumed, which is similar to current prices. Note that alcohol fuels need to be compared with petrol at an ex-refinery price and not a pump price, as the latter includes Federal excise and also transport and point of sale costs.

The price of methanol from natural gas is expected to fluctuate within the range US\$100 to 200 per tonne over the next fifteen years, with no major impact from any decline of natural gas reserves. If a figure of US\$150 per tonne is used, methanol from natural gas should be available in 2015 at 24 c/l.

Relative to petrol, ethanol has an energy density of 0.7. This suggests that, while in 2015 petrol may have an ex-refinery price of approximately 35 c/l, the amount of petrol with energy equivalent to a litre of ethanol will cost 25 c/l. With ethanol predicted to cost, at best, approximately 42c/l in 2015, there is still a considerable gap in relative costs.

Methanol has an energy density (MJ/L) that is lower than both petrol and ethanol. In 2015 there is also a cost gap anticipated, with methanol from biomass estimated to cost 50 c/l against methanol from natural gas at 24 c/l.

Alcohol fuels also provide octane improvements when blended with petrol for use in internal combustion

engines, however, a monetary value attributable to this benefit was not determined during this study. Petrol and alcohol fuels are both possible feeds to reformers for fuel cells, with similar energy efficiencies.

Fuel cells convert gaseous fuels to electricity and heat using electro-chemical processes instead of traditional combustion technologies. They can be thought of as batteries that do not 'run down' as long as fuel is supplied. Fuel cells overcome the energy conversion limitations of combustion technologies and may also be used in cogeneration applications. There is major international interest in developing and applying fuel cells for transport and stationary energy.

In view of this large price gap between the cost of alcohol fuels from biomass and the ex-refinery cost of petrol, alcohol fuels cannot be expected to compete on a simple economic basis (i.e. without accounting for environmental or other benefits) against petrol unless there is a large, and sustained, increase in the price of crude oil. Such a cost increase is not anticipated over the next twenty years unless, perhaps, there is significant social, economic or political upheaval across the Middle East and the other oil producing nations. In its absence, and thus without consideration of security of supply, the case for alcohol fuels in Australia should be made on other benefits from such products and their manufacture.



Photo: Leslie Eudy / NREL

Methanol fuel cell vehicle being trialed in the USA





Benefits of a wood-based alcohol fuel industry

It is recognised that alcohol fuels from wood feeds can provide significant benefits in terms of greenhouse gas reduction, both over petrol and over many other renewable fuels. The planting of trees in selected areas is also anticipated to bring about salinity benefits and potentially other benefits to the environment and rural Australia. In this study, as a preliminary assessment of some of the environmental benefits, greenhouse gas benefits and on-farm salinity benefits have been assessed in terms of their ability to "close the price gap" that is identified for biomass based fuels. A value of \$56 per tonne for CO₂ abatement has been used, based on work by ABARE. Values of 1.4 c/l for methanol and 3 c/l for ethanol were estimated for on farm salinity benefits, based on a case study for planting mallee eucalypts in alleys in the Western Australian wheat belt and the biomass required per litre of ethanol or methanol produced.

While both these benefits reduced the cost gaps between biomass based fuels and their fossil fuel equivalents, they did not close the gaps completely. The remaining gap, based on relatively constant petrol pricing over the next 15 years, was estimated to be 13 c/l for ethanol and 15 c/l for methanol. Australia is expected to import more than 50% of its crude oil from overseas by 2015, and if the price of crude oil increases, these gaps will decrease. Conversely, if the value of the Australian dollar increases against the US dollar (A\$1.00 = US\$0.50 was used in this study) the cost of importing crude oil will decrease, which will work against the use of alcohol fuels.

The figures below compare both woody-biomass-derived ethanol and methanol with the production costs from conventional fossil fuels counterparts. This illustrates the gap, after taking into account greenhouse gas and on-farm salinity credits.



There are other environmental benefits and social benefits both on-farm and off-farm for widespread tree planting which could support the use of alcohol fuels. These benefits will help to further close the price gap, but they were not assessed quantitatively in this report. They include:

- Protection of roads, towns and other infrastructure from damage by waterlogging and salinity
- Biodiversity benefits, particularly by reducing or preventing the flow of saline water into rivers, wetland and other biodiverse areas
- Protection of the rivers in the Murray Darling Basin from salinity and its impact on irrigation-based industry
- Contribution to sustainable farming, and thus to healthy, sustainable rural communities

Broader socio-economic benefits such as regional development and jobs.

The impact of off-farm salinity benefits could be very significant. For example, in Western Australia the off-farm costs of dryland salinity have been estimated to total as much as six times the on-farm costs. Reducing these off-farm costs could thus make a large contribution to closing the gap between alcohol fuels and petrol.



© Copyright CSIRO Land and Water

Example of road damage near Griffith NSW caused by high water table levels and salinity.

It is possible that other products could be produced in conjunction with the manufacture of alcohol fuels. The work proceeding in Western Australia with integrated processing of mallee eucalypts for renewable electricity, activated carbon and eucalyptus oil is one example of multiple products supporting improved project economics. Co-products relevant to liquid fuel production include oils extracted from leaf material and new products from the lignin. If produced in sufficient quantities or at sufficient value, such co-products would improve the commercial viability of alcohol fuel production.

It must be remembered that all alcohol fuels from sugars, starches and woody biomass currently cost more than ex-refinery petrol. Each of these three feedstocks brings its own unique potential for co-values and co-products that help to make the case for its use in ethanol or methanol production. Many of the benefits attributable to the use of wood for alcohol fuels – particularly the on-farm and off-farm environmental benefits – will not be achieved from the production of ethanol using sugar or starch feedstocks.



Photo: Chris Standlee / NREL


Ethanol from starch conversion plant

Alcohol fuels or renewable electricity?

Electricity from biomass was also examined, as a comparison with biomass based liquid fuels. Technology for electricity from biomass is well established and widely used around the world. Based on a 30 MW_e power plant using similar wood feed to the liquid fuel plants, electricity from biomass is more expensive than electricity generated from fossil fuels. However, when CO₂ benefits and on farm salinity benefits are costed

in the same way that they were in the liquid fuels analysis, biomass electricity becomes marginally cheaper than fossil fuel electricity, as illustrated in the diagram below. As such, on the estimates prepared in this study, electricity seems to be a more economically attractive product from biomass than ethanol or methanol over the next fifteen years, even when potential advancement in liquid fuel technologies are considered.

Comparison of Fossil and Biomass Electricity Costs in 2015



Distributed electricity generation can have other benefits over centralised generation (such as optimisation of transmission infrastructure). However, such issues typically occur on a case by case basis and were not considered in this study. Any other benefits attributable to large scale tree planting and co-products should apply to electricity generation just as they would to alcohol fuel production.

Recommendations

Technology In any new Australian work looking at ethanol from wood, the large amount of technology available from overseas, and the large amount of research expected overseas this decade should both be given careful consideration. In this way, local research can be focussed to give the best result for Australia without simply reproducing the work that will be available from other groups. Particular areas of interest could include the examination of Australian biomass feeds (e.g. eucalypts) as opposed to the straws and corn stover that are the focus of much of the overseas work. Examination of the processes and costs of taking these local feeds through to fermentable sugars will also be relevant to other new industries (for example renewable plastics such as PLA) and to production of co-products.

The assessment of methanol from wood in this study has been hampered by a relative lack of data on its manufacture and its use in petrol blends. In the absence of integrated work overseas, even at the pilot scale, the development process for this technology in Australia could be more costly than that for ethanol. Prior to any major research undertakings for methanol production, it is important that issues of blending and use are considered in more detail. It would also be possible to conduct a more accurate feasibility and costing study based on information from providers of each of the relevant processing units to make up a wood to methanol plant.

Benefits to the triple bottom line This study has shown that recognition of environmental and social co-values could underpin a decision to develop alcohol fuels from wood. To better understand the full benefits of alcohol fuels from wood (or, for that matter, any new commercial use of dryland tree crops), work must be undertaken to examine and quantify the range of off-farm salinity benefits as well as other benefits, across a variety of regions. Ideally, particular regions would be identified that offer maximum benefits from large scale tree planting. With this data policy makers and industry could target tree planting for alcohol fuels where those trees will have the greatest overall benefit.

This additional analysis would be a significant undertaking, but it could build on the considerable body of salinity-related work that is already available.

Co-products Other products produced synergistically with alcohol fuels offer another method of reducing the price gap between these fuels and petrol. Specific research pertaining to alcohol fuels and co-products from Australian plantation trees is in its infancy, and yet it offers great potential for sustainable new rural industry. Current work with multiple products from Australian tree species must continue if this avenue for helping to close the alcohol fuel price gap is to be better understood. Work with value-added products from lignin may assist a new alcohol fuels industry and may also provide technologies of benefit to the existing lignin producers in the pulp and paper industry. In the absence of lignin co-products, the economics of parallel production of renewable electricity from lignin should also be better understood.

Government policy A large price gap generally exists between the cost of alcohol fuels from sugars, starches and biomass and the ex-refinery cost of petrol. Unless there is a large, and sustained, increase in the price of crude oil, alcohol fuels cannot be expected to compete

“head to head” against petrol on a simple economic basis (i.e. without accounting for environmental or other benefits). However, it is possible that alcohol fuel plants using wood as feed may be justified in certain regions from a “triple bottom line” perspective that offers multiple benefits to the community. In this case, it is essential that there are Government policies in place to provide financial incentives for alcohol fuel manufacturers that reflect these community benefits. As with similar situations in other alcohol-producing countries such as the USA and Brazil, the policies will need to be sufficiently stable as to underpin major capital investments.

Given the decline of domestic crude reserves and increased imports of overseas crude over coming years, it is expected that the Government will regularly consider the implications to Australia of increased crude oil prices and of increased risk to crude oil supply. The strategic implications of an alcohol fuels industry should be included in any such considerations. It must be remembered that a large biomass alcohol fuels industry could involve billions of dollars of investment in plantations and processing plants and significant lead times for establishment of even short rotation plantations. Such an industry will ideally be established from a comprehensive base of technical, financial and environmental data.




Photo: Min Zhang / NREL

Zymomonas mobilis, an organism that can convert both glucose and xylose to ethanol is being researched at the University of NSW



RIRDC Contact Details:

Level 1, AMA House
42 Macquarie Street
BARTON ACT 2600
PO Box 4776
KINGSTON ACT 2604
Tel: 02 6272 4033
Fax: 02 6272 5877
Email: rirdc@rirdc.gov.au
Web: www.rirdc.gov.au